

**EXAMINER – PRACTITIONER INTERVIEW**  
AUGUST 6, 2007

---

<b>Application No.:</b> 10/635,732	<b>Examiner:</b> PERUNGAVOOR, Venkatanaray
<b>Filing Date:</b> August 6, 2003	<b>Group Art Unit:</b> 2132
<b>Confirmation No.:</b> 8852	
<b>Inventor(s):</b> BACHINSKI, THOMAS J.	
<b>Title:</b> FILE CABINET WITH SECURE ACCESS	<b>Docket #:</b> 77012-324666 <b>Client Ref.:</b>

---

The time period for response to the Advisory Action dated July 25, 2007, incorrectly stated that the period of reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection [box 1.a] on Form PTOL-303].

The final Office action with claims rejections was dated April 16, 2007, for which the corresponding two (2) month date is June 16, 2007. However, June 16, 2007, is a Saturday. Therefore, and in accordance with 35 U.S.C § 21, a response filed on or before Monday, June 18, 2007, is considered as having been timely filed within the two (2) month period from the issue date of the final Office action.

Applicant did file a fully responsive amendment in a timely manner on Monday, June 18, 2007. Therefore, and in accordance with MPEP 706.07(f)-I, the box 1.b) should have been checked on Form PTOL-303, and not box 1.a).

The Examiner will issue a revised Advisory Action with box 1.b) checked on Form PTOL-303.

Also, an Examiner interview has been scheduled at 3:00 pm EDT on Friday, August 10, 2007, for discussing the patentability of pending claims 1-15.

/dipakjshah /  
Dipak J. Shah  
Practitioner # 56,782  
Customer # 25,764